

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,652	EPSHTENI ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending.

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) EDWARD D. PERGAMENT. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)